

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/600,581	Applicant(s) HANNA, MICHELLE M.	
	Examiner Young J. Kim	Art Unit 1637	

All Participants:

(1) Young J. Kim.

(2) Simon Elliott.
Status of Application: NINA

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2005
Time: 3:30 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

YOUNG J. KIM
PATENT EXAMINER


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Notice of Non-compliant Amendment mailed on October 21, 2005 sent by Zeta Adams indicated that claims were not in compliance with the New Amendment rules set forth in 37 CFR 1.121. However, the preliminary amendment in question was received prior to the effective date of the new amendment practice. Mr. Elliott was advised that the non-compliance with respect to the claims need not be addressed in their response.